IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

TUESDAY, THE 21ST AUGUST 2007 / 30TH SRAVANA 1929

WP(C).No. 22144 of 2007(J)

---

PETITIONER:

---

G. BAHULEYAN,
ANEESH BHAVAN, VALIYAMANALI, ANAPPARA P.O.,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.T.A.UNNIKRISHNAN

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE ADDITIONAL DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
ELECTRICAL SUB DIVISION, NEDUMANGAD,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. LILLY ARCHA,
ATTUMANAPURATHU VEEDU, MANALI, ANAPPARA P.O.,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SHRI.P.P.THAJUDEEN, SC, KSEB
ADV. SMT.M.K.PUSHPALATHA, GOVERNMENT PLEADER

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 21/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

**C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J.**

**----------**

**WP(C) No. 22144 of 2007**

**----------**

**Dated, this the 21st day of August, 2007**

**J U D G M E N T**

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Standing Counsel for KSEB. From the sketch produced by KSEB, it is seen that line is drawn between two posts 'A' and 'B', both standing in a pathway. Petitioner claims pathway as his private property. I do not think petitioner's claim over the pathway, in any way, is affected by drawing electric line over it. The electric line over a pathway will no way affect use of the pathway either by the petitioner or by the public. In the circumstances, this writ petition is closed declaring that petitioner's right over the pathway, whatever it be, is not affected by drawing / retaining the line for giving connection to the beneficiary. This writ petition is closed with the above observation.

**(C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JUDGE)**

jg